## Control Limit for Aggregate Nonwhiting QS Holdings

This document describes the calculation used by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to determine the control limit for aggregate nonwhiting quota share (QS) holdings for the shorebased individual fishing quota (IFQ) program.

Regulations at 50 CFR § 660.140 (d)(4)(i)(B) describe the process of determining the control limit for aggregate nonwhiting QS holdings as follows:

> To determine how much aggregate nonwhiting QS a person holds, NMFS will convert the person's QS to pounds. This conversion will always be conducted using the trawl allocations applied to the 2010 OYs [optimum yields], until such time as the Council recommends otherwise. Specifically, NMFS will multiply each person's QS for each species by the shoreside trawl allocation for that species. The person's pounds for all nonwhiting species will be summed and divided by the shoreside trawl allocation of all nonwhiting species to calculate the person's share of the aggregate nonwhiting trawl quota. To determine the shoreside trawl allocation for the purpose of determining compliance with the aggregate nonwhiting QS control limit, for species that have specific trawl allocation percentages in Amendment 21, NMFS will apply the Amendment 21 trawl allocation percentages to (set forth at §660.55) the 2010 OYs, and where applicable, will deduct the preliminary setasides for the at-sea sectors from Amendment 21. For species that do not have specific trawl allocation percentages in Amendment 21, NMFS will apply a percentage based on the Northwest Fishery Science Center final report on 2010 estimated total fishing mortality of groundfish by sector, or, if the final report for 2010 is not available, based on the most recent report available.

NMFS used the following steps in order to calculate the 2010 shoreside trawl allocations, which correspond to the step numbers in the attached aggregate nonwhiting QS control limit calculation table. The control limit for aggregate nonwhiting QS holdings applies to all non-whiting and nonhalibut IFQ species. Whenever calculations were made within a step, NMFS used the full value (rather than rounding). Only on the very last step, where metric tons (mt) were converted to pounds did NMFS round to the nearest pound using normal rounding rules.

## Step 1. 2010 Optimum Yields

The final 2010 OYs were set through a May 4, 2010 final rule, published at 75 FR 23620. The 2010 OYs in Step 1 of the attached aggregate nonwhiting QS control limit calculation table were drawn directly from 75 FR 23620 (pp. 23627-23629) and 75 FR 38030 (updates to canary, darkblotched and
yelloweye, pp. 38034-38036), with the exception of Lingcod North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and Lingcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$.

In 2010, lingcod was managed as a coastwide species, with a total OY of 4,829 mt. To convert this coastwide OY, NMFS applied the biomass ratio for a $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. split of $73.21 \%$ North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and $26.79 \%$ South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$., as adopted by the Council for calculation of surplus carryover in June 2013 (Agenda Item F.9.b, NMFS Report). Using this biomass ratio, NMFS calculated a 2010 OY of 3,535.3109 mt for Lingcod North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. (4,829 mt x 73.21\% = 3,535.3109 mt), and 1,293.6891 mt for Lingcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N} .(4,829 \mathrm{mt} \times 26.79 \%=1,293.6891 \mathrm{mt})$.

## Step 2. Amendment 21 Trawl Allocation Percentages

Amendment 21 was implemented through an October 1, 2010 final rule, published at 75 FR 60868. The Amendment 21 trawl allocation percentages in Step 2 of the attached aggregate nonwhiting QS control limit calculation table were drawn directly from 75 FR 60868 (pp. 60921-60922), with several exceptions noted below.

Any species for which there is no value in this column indicates no specific trawl allocation percentage in Amendment 21. As the regulation states, for species that do not have specific trawl allocation percentages in Amendment 21, NMFS will apply a percentage based on the NWFSC final report on 2010 estimated total fishing mortality of groundfish by sector (later step).

Lingcod North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and Lingcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$.: Lingcod was listed as a coastwide species under Amendment 21 at a trawl allocation percentage of $45 \%$ and is now split north and south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Consistent with regulations at $\S 660.140(\mathrm{c})(3)(\mathrm{vii})(\mathrm{A})(1)$ on QS reallocation with an area subdivision, NMFS has used the $45 \%$ trawl allocation percentage for both Lingcod North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and Lingcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. This is also consistent with what was done to reallocate lingcod for QS permit owners beginning in 2013 where individual QS amounts were subdivided for each area in an amount equivalent to the QS held for the area before it was subdivided (see 2013-2014 harvest specifications final rule, 78 FR 580, January 3, 2013).

Sablefish North of $36^{\circ}$ N.: Amendment 6 to the groundfish fishery management plan (FMP) provides a formal allocation of Sablefish North of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. to the non-tribal limited entry trawl fishery. Sablefish North of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. was not explicitly allocated under Amendment 21, however, because the regulations at § 660.140 (d)(4)(i)(B) state "...NMFS will apply the Amendment 21 trawl allocation percentages to (set forth at $\S 660.55$ ) the 2010 OYs ...," it is reasonable to interpret the control limit for aggregate nonwhiting QS holdings regulations to mean that any formal allocations in 2010 would be applicable for the calculation. Formal allocations are those specified in the FMP at section 6.3.2. The sablefish

North of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. allocation is also specified at § 660.55 (h). Therefore, for sablefish North of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$., NMFS applied the Amendment 6 allocations to the 2010 OY, including the deduction of recreational and research set-asides that were part of the Council's recommendations for the 2010 harvest specifications. This results in a limited entry trawl allocation of 3,047 mt, using the calculation in the table below (all in mt ).

| 2010 OY (A) | Tribal Allocation $(B)=(A) \times 10 \%$ | Non-Tribal Total Catch (HG) $(C)=(A)-(B)$ | Recreational, EFP, <br> Research <br> Allocation (D) | Commercial Total Catch (HG) $(E)=(C)-(D)$ | LE Total Allocation (Trawl and NonTrawl) $(F)=(E) \times 90.6 \%$ | LE Trawl (All Trawl <br> = Bottom and Midwater) (G) = (F) x 58\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6,471 | 647 | 5,824 | 25.83 | 5,798.17 | 5,253.14202 | 3,046.8223716 |

## Step 3. Amendment 21 Trawl Allocation in Metric Tons

In this step on the attached aggregate nonwhiting QS control limit calculation table, NMFS simply converted the Amendment 21 trawl allocation from a percentage (Step 2) to metric tons.

## Step 4. Preliminary At-Sea Set-Asides

Where an Amendment 21 percentage or allocation was set from Step 3 above, NMFS deducted the preliminary set-asides for the at-sea sectors from Amendment 21. NMFS has interpreted this to mean the at-sea set-asides that were published in late 2010 through 75 FR 60868 (Table 1d - pp. 6093460935), and finalized through the 2011-2012 harvest specifications process. The Amendment 21 atsea set-asides in Step 3 of the attached aggregate nonwhiting QS control limit calculation table were drawn directly from 75 FR 60868 (Table 1d - pp. 60934-60935), with several exceptions noted below.

For coastwide lingcod, 75 FR 60868 set aside 6 mt for the at-sea sector. This coastwide species is now split into Lingcod North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and Lingcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$., and because very little whiting effort occurs south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$., for the purposes of this aggregate nonwhiting QS control limit calculation only, NMFS subtracted the 6 mt set-aside solely from the Lingcod North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. trawl allocation, and did not consider Lingcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. to have an at-sea set-aside. This is consistent with the approach taken for at-sea whiting set-asides in 2013, the first year lingcod management was split north and south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. for the trawl fishery.

Additionally, Amendment 21 (at Section 6.3.2.3 of the FMP) laid out calculations as follows for the three trawl-dominant overfished species:

Darkblotched Rockfish: Allocate $9 \%$ or 25 mt , whichever is greater, of the total LE trawl allocation of darkblotched rockfish to the whiting fisheries (at-sea and shoreside combined). The distribution of the
whiting trawl allocation of darkblotched to individual whiting sectors will be done pro rata relative to the sectors' whiting allocation.

- Total LE trawl allocation $=330 \mathrm{mt} \mathrm{OY} \times 95 \%=313.5 \mathrm{mt}$
- Of the total LE trawl allocation ( 313.5 mt ), allocate $9 \%$ ( 28.215 mt ) or 25 mt , whichever is greater ( 28.215 mt ) to the whiting fisheries (at-sea and shoreside combined).
- Sectors' whiting allocation: $42 \%$ shorebased, $34 \%$ catcher processor (C/P), $24 \%$ mothership (MS).
- To find the at-sea set-aside value for darkblotched rockfish, NMFS multiplied the at-sea sectors' allocation of $58 \%$ ( $34 \% \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{P}+24 \% \mathrm{MS}$ ) by the total at-sea and shoreside combined set-aside of 28.215 mt to get an at-sea set-aside value of 16.3647 mt .

Pacific Ocean Perch North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N.: Allocate $17 \%$ or 30 mt , whichever is greater, of the total LE trawl allocation of Pacific ocean perch to the whiting fisheries (at-sea and shoreside combined). The distribution of the whiting trawl allocation of POP to individual whiting sectors will be done pro rata relative to the sectors' whiting allocation.

- Total LE trawl allocation $=200 \mathrm{mt} \mathrm{OY} \times 95 \%=190 \mathrm{mt}$
- Of the total LE trawl allocation ( 190 mt ), allocate $17 \%$ ( 32.3 mt ) or 30 mt , whichever is greater ( 32.3 mt ) to the whiting fisheries (at-sea and shoreside combined).
- Sectors' whiting allocation: $42 \%$ shorebased, $34 \% \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{P}, 24 \% \mathrm{MS}$.
- To find the at-sea set-aside value for Pacific ocean perch, NMFS multiplied the at-sea sectors' allocation of $58 \%(34 \%$ C/P $+24 \% \mathrm{MS})$ by the total at-sea and shoreside combined set-aside of 32.3 mt to get an at-sea set-aside value of 18.734 mt .

Widow Rockfish: Initially allocate $52 \%$ of the total LE trawl allocation of widow rockfish to the whiting sectors if the stock is under rebuilding or $10 \%$ of the total LE trawl allocation or 500 mt of the trawl allocation to the whiting sectors, whichever is greater, if the stock is rebuilt. If the stock is overfished when the initial allocation is implemented, the latter allocation scheme automatically kicks in when it is declared rebuilt. The distribution of the whiting trawl allocation of widow to individual whiting sectors will be done pro rata relative to the sectors' whiting allocation.

Because widow rockfish was considered to be under rebuilding in 2010, the following approach was used in calculating the at-sea set-aside:

- Total LE trawl allocation $=509 \mathrm{mt}$ OY $\times 91 \%=463.19 \mathrm{mt}$
- Of the total LE trawl allocation ( 463.19 mt ), initially allocate $52 \%(240.8588 \mathrm{mt})$ to the whiting sectors.
- Sectors' whiting allocation: $42 \%$ shorebased, $34 \% \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{P}, 24 \% \mathrm{MS}$.
- To find the at-sea set-aside value for widow under rebuilding, NMFS multiplied the at-sea sectors' allocation of $58 \%$ ( $34 \%$ C/P $+24 \% \mathrm{MS}$ ) by the total at-sea and shoreside combined set-aside of 240.8588 mt to get an at-sea set-aside value of 139.698104 mt .


## Step 5. Amendment 21 Shorebased Trawl Allocation

In this step on the attached aggregate nonwhiting QS control limit calculation table, NMFS simply subtracted the at-sea set aside (Step 4) from the trawl allocation (Step 3) to find the shorebased trawl allocation in metric tons.

## Steps 6-9. Northwest Fishery Science Center 2010 Total Mortality Report

For species that did not have specific trawl allocation percentages in Amendment 21, NMFS applied a percentage based on the Northwest Fishery Science Center (NWFSC) final Estimated Discard and Catch of Groundfish Species in the 2010 US West Coast Fisheries report, using values from Table 19 Estimated Fishing Mortality of Major West Coast Groundfish Species in 2010 By Sector (pp. 52-54). Species for which NMFS calculated the mortality percentages were: Bocaccio rockfish South of 40¹0' N., Canary rockfish, Cowcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N., Minor shelf rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N., Minor shelf rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$., and Yelloweye rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$..

NMFS added the estimated fishing mortality for LE bottom trawl and non-tribal shoreside hake to come up with a total fishing mortality value for the shorebased fishery (Step 6). Next, NMFS divided the shorebased mortality by the total estimated fishing mortality of all sectors (Step 7) to find the percentage of shorebased fishing mortality (Step 8). Then, NMFS multiplied this shorebased fishing mortality percentage by the 2010 OY to calculate a shorebased trawl allocation in metric tons (Step 9).

Minor shelf rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and Minor shelf rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. were both broken out by individual species mortality within each complex. For each complex, NMFS added the species within the complex to come up with a total LE bottom trawl and non-tribal shoreside hake mortality weight and a total fishing mortality weight in metric tons. Then NMFS used those sums to calculate the shorebased percentage as compared to the total percentage.

## Steps 10 and 11. 2010 Shorebased Trawl Allocation

Following the steps above, NMFS was able to calculate a 2010 shorebased trawl allocation in metric tons for each current IFQ species using information from either Amendment 21 (with limited exceptions noted in Steps 2 and 4) or the Estimated Discard and Catch of Groundfish Species in the
$\underline{2010 \text { US West Coast Fisheries report (Step 10). NMFS then converted the calculated } 2010 \text { shorebased }}$ trawl allocation from metric tons to pounds, applying the standard IFQ 5-decimal conversion factor of 2204.62262 pounds to 1 metric ton.

## Individual Calculation

To determine how much aggregate nonwhiting QS a person holds, NMFS will convert the person's QS to pounds. This conversion will always be conducted using the trawl allocations applied to the 2010 OYs as noted in this memo, until such time as the Council recommends otherwise. Specifically, NMFS will multiply each person's QS for each species by the 2010 shoreside trawl allocation in this memo for that species. The person's pounds for all nonwhiting species will be summed and divided by the 2010 shoreside trawl allocation of all nonwhiting species to calculate the person's share of the aggregate nonwhiting trawl quota.

As of the date on this memo, the accumulation limits table at 50 CFR § 660.140 (d)(4) establishes a 2.7\% non-whiting groundfish species QS accumulation limit. NMFS has interpreted this to mean $2.700 \%$ - that is, someone with an aggregate nonwhiting trawl quota of $2.669 \%$ or $2.700 \%$ would fall under the limit, while someone with $2.701 \%$ would be in excess of the limit.

Additionally, please note that this document will need to be updated if there are any future splits of IFQ species groups, or additional QS species added.

Calculating the 2010 Shoreside Trawl Allocation for the Control Limit for Aggregate Nonwhiting QS Holdings

| Step: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source: |  | Amendment 21 |  |  |  | NWFSC 2010 Total Mortality Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculation (Note Exceptions): | (A) | (B) | (C) $=$ (A) $\times$ (B) | (D) | (E) = (C) - (D) | (F) | (G) | (H) = (F) / (G) | $(\mathrm{I}=(\mathrm{A}) \times(\mathrm{H})$ | (J) $=(\mathrm{E})$ or (I) | $\begin{gathered} (K)=(J) x \\ 2,204.62262 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| IFQ Species | 2010 OYs (mt) | Amd 21 Trawl Allocation (\% of OY) | Amd 21 Trawl Allocation (mt) | Amd 21 At- <br> Sea Set <br> Asides (mt) | Amd 21 Shorebased Trawl Allocation (mt) | LE Bottom Trawl + Non- Tribal Shoreside Hake (mt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Est } \\ \text { Fishing } \\ \text { Mortality (mt) } \end{gathered}$ | Applied Percentage Est Shorebased Fishing Mortality | Total Mortality Shorebased Trawl Allocation (mt) | 2010 Shorebased Trawl Allocation (mt) | 2010 <br> Shorebased <br> Trawl Allocation <br> (lbs) |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 10,112 | 95\% | 9,606.4 | 10 | 9,596.4 |  |  |  |  | 9,596.4 | 21,156,441 |
| Bocaccio rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 288 |  |  |  |  | 12.9 | 72.3 | 17.8423236514523\% | 51.38589211618 | 51.38589211618 | 113,287 |
| Canary rockfish | 105 |  |  |  |  | 6.4 | 43.2 | 14.8148148148148\% | 15.55555555556 | 15.55555555556 | 34,294 |
| Chilipepper rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 2,447 | 75\%\| | 1,835.25 | NA | 1,835.25 |  |  |  |  | 1,835.25 | 4,046,034 |
| Cowcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 4 |  |  |  |  | 0.6 | 1.2 | 50\%\| | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4,409 |
| Darkblotched rockish | 330 | 95\% | 313.5 | 16.3647 | 297.1353 |  |  |  |  | 297.1353 | 655,071 |
| Dover sole | 16,500 | 95\% | 15,675 | 5 | 15,670 |  |  |  |  | 15,670 | 34,546,436 |
| English sole | 9,745 | 95\% | 9,257.75 | 5 | 9,252.75 |  |  |  |  | 9,252.75 | 20,398,822 |
| Lingcod North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 3,535.3109 | 45\% | 1,590.889905 | 6 | 1,584.889905 |  |  |  |  | 1,584.889905 | 3,494,084 |
| Lingcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 1,293.6891 | 45\% | 582.160095 | NA | 582.160095 |  |  |  |  | 582.160095 | 1,283,443 |
| Longspine thornyheads North of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 2,175 | 95\% | 2,066.25 | 5 | 2,061.25 |  |  |  |  | 2,061.25 | 4,544,278 |
| Minor shelf rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 968 |  |  |  |  | 19.6 | 76.9 | 25.4876462938882\% | 246.720416124837 | 246.720416124837 | 543,925 |
| Minor shelf rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 714 |  |  |  |  | 21.3 | 251 | 8.48267622461171\% | 60.5663082437276 | 60.5663082437276 | 133,526 |
| Minor slope rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 1,160 | 81\% | 939.6 | 55 | 884.6 |  |  |  |  | 884.6 | 1,950,209 |
| Minor slope rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 626 | 63\% | 394.38 | NA | 394.38 |  |  |  |  | 394.38 | 869,459 |
| Other flattish | 4,884 | 90\% | 4,395.6 | 20 | 4,375.6 |  |  |  |  | 4,375.6 | 9,646,547 |
| Pacific cod | 1,600 | 95\% | 1,520 | 5 | 1,515 |  |  |  |  | 1,515 | 3,340,003 |
| Pacific ocean perch North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 200 | 95\% | 190 | 18.734 | 171.266 |  |  |  |  | 171.266 | 377,577 |
| Petrale sole | 1,200 | 95\% | 1,140 | 5 | 1,135 |  |  |  |  | 1,135 | 2,502,247 |
| Sablefish North of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. | 6,471 | 3046.8223716 mt | 3,046.8223716 | 50 | 2,996.8223716 |  |  |  |  | 2,996.8223716 | 6,606,862 |
| Sablefish South of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. | 1,258 | 42\% | 528.36 | NA | 528.36 |  |  |  |  | 528.36 | 1,164,834 |
| Shortspine thornyheads North of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 1,591 | 95\% | 1,511.45 | 20 | 1,491.45 |  |  |  |  | 1,491.45 | 3,288,084 |
| Shortspine thornyheads South of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 410 | 50 mt | 50 | NA | 50 |  |  |  |  | 50 | 110,231 |
| Splitnose rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 461 | 95\% | 437.95 | NA | 437.95 |  |  |  |  | 437.95 | 965,514 |
| Starry flounder | 1,077 | 50\% | 538.5 | 5 | 533.5 |  |  |  |  | 533.5 | 1,176,166 |
| Widow rockfish | 509 | 91\% | 463.19 | 139.698104 | 323.491896 |  |  |  |  | 323.491896 | 713,178 |
| Yelloweye rockfish | 14 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 7.6 | 1.31578947368421\% | 0.18421052631579 | 0.18421052631579 | 406 |
| Yellowtail rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 4,562 | 88\% | 4,014.56 | 300 | 3,714.56 |  |  |  |  | 3,714.56 | 8,189,203 |

## Control Limit for Aggregate Nonwhiting QS Holdings Individual Account Level Example

| IFQ Species | 2010 Shorebased Trawl Allocation (lbs) | An Individual Entity's QS\% (Example) | Conversion of Individual Entity's QS to Pounds (Example) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 21,156,441 | 0.500\% | 105,782 |
| Bocaccio rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 113,287 | 0.000\% | 0 |
| Canary rockfish | 34,294 | 0.416\% | 143 |
| Chilipepper rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 4,046,034 | 0.216\% | 8,739 |
| Cowcod South of 40 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 4,409 | 0.000\% | 0 |
| Darkblotched rockfish | 655,071 | 1.800\% | 11,791 |
| Dover sole | 34,546,436 | 0.691\% | 238,716 |
| English sole | 20,398,822 | 0.274\% | 55,893 |
| Lingcod North of 40 $0^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 3,494,084 | 0.616\% | 21,524 |
| Lingcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 1,283,443 | 0.616\% | 7,906 |
| Longspine thornyheads North of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ | 4,544,278 | 0.966\% | 43,898 |
| Minor shelf rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 543,925 | 0.503\% | 2,736 |
| Minor shelf rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 133,526 | 0.138\% | 184 |
| Minor slope rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 1,950,209 | 0.579\% | 11,292 |
| Minor slope rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 869,459 | 0.255\% | 2,217 |
| Other flatfish | 9,646,547 | 0.213\% | 20,547 |
| Pacific cod | 3,340,003 | 0.313\% | 10,454 |
| Pacific ocean perch North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 377,577 | 0.484\% | 1,827 |
| Petrale sole | 2,502,247 | 0.751\% | 18,792 |
| Sablefish North of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. | 6,606,862 | 0.762\% | 50,344 |
| Sablefish South of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. | 1,164,834 | 0.194\% | 2,260 |
| Shortspine thornyheads North of $34^{\circ} 27$ | 3,288,084 | 0.514\% | 16,901 |
| Shortspine thornyheads South of $34^{\circ} 27$ | 110,231 | 0.244\% | 269 |
| Splitnose rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 965,514 | 0.250\% | 2,414 |
| Starry flounder | 1,176,166 | 1.201\% | 14,126 |
| Widow rockfish | 713,178 | 0.787\% | 5,613 |
| Yelloweye rockfish | 406 | 0.075\% | 0 |
| Yellowtail rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 8,189,203 | 0.355\% | 29,072 |
| Total Non-Whiting Non-Halibut QP Sum | 131,854,570 | Individual QP Sum (Example) : | 683,440 |
|  |  | Individual Percentage Cannot Exceed 2.700\% of Total Non-Whiting Non-Halibut Sum (Example): | 0.518\% |
|  |  | Limit to Transfer In (Example): | 2.182\% |

