

Summary of Comments on Amendment 14 Scoping: Shark Quota Management

Highly Migratory Species Management Division September AP Meeting 2019

Summary of Need and Objectives

Consistent with National Standard 1, Amendment 14 aims to explore options for:

- Modifying or establishing reference points.
- Increasing management flexibility for Atlantic shark fisheries.

The scoping document presented the following objectives:

- 1. Consider revising acceptable biological catch (ABC) control rule to ensure harvest does not exceed overfishing limit (OFL).
- 2. Evaluate the process of establishing annual catch limit (ACL) for non-prohibited shark species.
- 3. Evaluate process for determining what are the acceptable levels for rebuilding success.
- 4. Consider a process for managing under or over harvests of sharks in the HMS management unit.
- 5. Consider increasing flexibility to adapt to changes in harvest of sharks by sector.

The Scoping document also presented 18 options for 5 issues.



Comments Received

- ABC Control Rule Support for:
 - Creating a tiered ABC control rule.
 - Establishing a peer review process that will account for uncertainty.
- ABC Phase-in:
 - Support for and opposition to a 3 year phase-in ABC approach.
 - Concern about slowing the response to negative stock information.
- ACL Development Support for:
 - Establishing species-specific ACLs with no linkages.
 - Grouping species into new management units.
 - Establishing an ACL framework that accounts for management uncertainty and actively manages ACLs while limiting directed fishing and bycatch of shark species.
 - Strengthening reporting and data collection mechanisms in all sectors.

Comments Received Cont.

- Carry Over Support for:
 - Implementing carry-over.
 - Limiting the amount of carry-over (weather, market).
 - Establishing accountability measures that reduce the ACL if the ABC exceeded.
 - Considering of all sources of mortality.
- Multiyear Overfishing:
 - Opposition to using a multiyear overfishing approach as it may mask changes in stock status without an assessment.
 - Support for using a multiyear overfishing approach because it may be more reflective of the stock life history due to the long life cycle of shark species.
 - Support for multiyear overfishing approach to evaluate OFL/ABC and landings, particularly for the recreational sector.



Comments Received Cont.

- General comments
 - Support for:
 - Greater transparency in the assessment and management process.
 - Using additional data, conducting more frequent assessments, and using more life history data in the process.
 - Reevaluating the allocation process and analysis concerning management groups and geographic area.
 - Creating an SSC or developing a similar review process.
 - Opposition to any changes to management affecting rebuilding plans or timeframes.
 - General concern with the number of entities (NOAA Fisheries, ICCAT, CITES) involved in shark management and confusion as to who is the primary lead for shark management.



Tentative Next Steps

- Proposed rule: Spring 2020
- Final Amendment 14: Winter 2020 or 2021

To read all the submitted comments, please go to http://www.regulations.gov
Keyword - "NOAA-NMFS-2019-0040"

Additional Questions or Comments?

Ian Miller, ian.miller@noaa.gov
Guy DuBeck, guy.dubeck@noaa.gov
Karyl Brewster-Geisz, karyl.brewster-geisz@noaa.gov

301-427-8540

