

# **Calibration Workshop II**

John Carmichael

APAIS Calibration Model Peer Review March 20-22, 2018

### **Calibration Workshop Overview**

#### When & Where

• September 8-10, 2014 in Charleston SC

<u>Goals</u>

- Consider if APAIS changes impacted catch estimates
- Evaluate how to adjust estimates to maintain the time series
- Provide guidance on addressing future changes

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council

### APPROACH

- Panel of technical representatives from the Northeast through the Gulf of Mexico

   Councils, States, Commissions, NMFS, University
- Plenary sessions for presentations and general discussion
- Breakout groups to develop recommendations
  - Addressing survey design changes
  - Evaluating 3 methods for this calibration

### **TERMS OF REFERENCE**

- Review Calibration Workshop I (2012) approaches
- Review evaluations of 2013 APAIS changes
- Evaluate the feasibility of separating sampling and fishery changes
- Recommend calibration approaches for pre-2013 estimates.
- Discuss key factors calibration approaches should consider and how future data may affect calibration approaches

### **Calibration Recommendations**

### Calibration is required

- Continuity is necessary
- It is not appropriate to compare estimates based on the new survey design to management parameters such as Annual Catch Limits (ACL) based on the old design.
- The appropriate long-term solution is to calibrate existing estimates to the new survey method estimates.
- Interim methods are needed for management and assessment

# **Calibration Recommendations**

Consider 3 calibration approaches & thoroughly evaluate before selecting a final approach

- Two ratio methods
  - Complex and Simple
  - Can be applied in short term, serve as the interim approach
- One model based method

More time and effort, benefit from future data

- Address temporal changes in survey coverage
- Regional assistance is needed to develop and evaluate
  - Calibration Workgroup Ongoing since Workshop

### **Direct Catch Ratio**

- Simpler of the 2 ratio methods
- Total Catch / Peak Catch
- Assumes distribution of catch throughout the day is unchanged
- Does not use info from non-peak times
- Recommended as the interim approach

# **Complex Ratio**

- Incorporates relative effort distributions and trip sampling weights
- More use of non-peak info
- Assumptions to meet for an unbiased estimator were unknown

### **Model Based**

 Regression model to classify trips as catch periods (morning, peak, evening)

- Not actual time, rather what the trip resembles

- Adjust prior years so trip ratios match 2013 and create adjusted trip weightings
- Assumes model will predict periods, and time of day periods capture characteristics
- Uses more explanatory variables & improves with more data

### Future Survey Change Recommendations

- Consider calibration during initial design
   Side by side testing, Avoid "calibrating calibrations"
- Outreach and education are critical
- Existing estimates are needed until management and assessments are updated
- Peer Review calibration methods
- Revise time series